WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

| 1 | 1. | A probe microscope comprising: |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | a probe; |
| 3 | | a scanner for generating relative motion between said probe and a sample; |
| 4 | | a manual input device having a substantially unlimited range of |
| 5 | | mechanical motion to control a separation between the sample and said |
| 6 | probe, said n | nanual input device having a substantially unlimited range of mechanical |
| 7 | motion; | |
| 8 | | a detector that generates a probe motion signal related to movement of |
| 9 | said probe; | |
| 10 | | an alerting device responsive to said signal to provide substantially real- |
| 11 | time feedbac | k to an operator, the feedback being indicative of interaction between the |
| 12 | sample and said probe. | |
| 13 | | |
| 1 | 2. | The probe microscope of Claim 1, wherein said alerting device is a |
| 2 | mechanical r | esistance device coupled to said manual input device. |
| 3 | | |
| 1 | 3. | The probe microscope of Claim 2, wherein said manual input device is a |
| 2 | rotatable kno | b. |
| 3 | | |
| 1 | 4. | The probe microscope of Claim 3, wherein said resistance device is a |
| 2 | passive resistance device that changes an amount of torque necessary to turn the knob. | |
| 3 | | |
| 1 | 5. | The probe microscope of Claim 4, wherein said passive resistance device |
| 2 | is a brake. | |
| 3 | | |
| 1 | 6. | The probe microscope of Claim 4, wherein the amount of torque is related |
| 2 | to a magnitu | de of the interaction. |
| 3 | | |

| 1 | 7. | The probe microscope of claim 2, wherein said resistance device is an | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | active resistan | active resistance device. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 8. | The probe microscope of Claim 7, wherein said active resistance device | | |
| 2 | actively move | s said manual input device. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 9. | The probe microscope of Claim 2, wherein the feedback produced by said | | |
| 2 | resistance dev | ice is variable. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 10. | The probe microscope of Claim 9, wherein the probe motion signal is | | |
| 2 | indicative of a | tip-sample interaction, and wherein the variable resistance is related to the | | |
| 3 | interaction. | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 1 | 11. | The probe microscope of Claim 1, wherein the feedback produces an | | |
| 2 | audible output | t, wherein the audible output is related to a magnitude of the interaction. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 12. | The probe microscope of Claim 11, wherein the audible output is one of | | |
| 2 | pitch and volume. | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 13. | The probe microscope of Claim 1, further comprising | | |
| 2 | | a displacement sensor that measures the relative motion between said | | |
| 3 | probe and the | sample and generates a corresponding position signal; and | | |
| 4 | | a closed-loop feedback controller that generates a drive signal in response | | |
| 5 | to the position | n signal. | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 1 | 14. | The probe microscope of Claim 3, wherein said knob has a range of | | |
| 2 | motion greate | r than 180°. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 1 | 15. | The probe microscope of Claim 1, wherein the feedback is one of | | |
| 2 | substantially 1 | proportional, exponential and logarithmic with respect to the interaction. | | |

3

| 1 | 16. | A method of making a force curve measurement on a sample, the method | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | comprising: | | |
| 3 | | manually controlling a separation between a probe and the sample; | |
| 4 | | measuring the separation; | |
| 5 | | detecting a force on the probe in response to said generating step; | |
| 6 | | providing an alert based on the force; and | |
| 7 | | wherein said controlling step includes using a rotatable knob. | |
| 8 | | | |
| 1 | 17. | The method of Claim 16, wherein said providing step includes using a | |
| 2 | brake to control a torque required to rotate the knob. | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 1 | 18. | The method of Claim 17, wherein the torque is proportional to the force. | |
| 2 | | | |
| 1 | 19. | The method of Claim 16, wherein the knob has a range of motion greater | |
| 2 | than 180°. | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 1 | 20. | The method of Claim 16, further comprising the step of repeating said | |
| 2 | controlling st | ep in response to at least of one said measuring and detecting steps. | |
| 3 | | | |
| 1 | 21. | The method of Claim 16, wherein the alert is an audio alert. | |
| 2 | | | |
| 1 | 22. | A probe microscope including a probe that interacts with a sample, the | |
| 2 | microscope comprising: | | |
| 3 | | a manual rotary input knob that modulates a separation between the probe | |
| 4 | and the samp | le, said knob having a range of motion greater than 180°; | |
| 5 | | an alerting device responsive to interaction between the probe and the | |
| 6 | sample so as | to provide feedback to the operator, the feedback being indicative of a | |
| 7 | magnitude of | magnitude of the interaction. | |
| 8 | | | |
| 1 | 23. | The probe microscope of Claim 22, wherein said alerting device is a | |
| 2 | brake. | | |

| 1 | 24. | The probe microscope of Claim 23, wherein said brake is a passive |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | resistance dev | rice that changes a torque required to rotate the knob. |
| 3 | | |
| 1 | 25. | A probe microscope comprising: |
| 2 | | a probe; |
| 3 | | a scanner for generating relative motion between said probe and a sample; |
| 4 | | a linear manual input device to control a separation between the sample |
| 5 | and said probe; | |
| 6 | | a detector that generates a probe motion signal related to movement of |
| 7 | said probe; | |
| 8 | | an alerting device responsive to said signal to provide substantially real- |
| 9 | time feedback to an operator, the feedback being indicative of interaction between the | |
| 10 | sample and said probe. | |
| | | |